

An optimal family of methods for obtaining the zero of nonlinear equation

O. Ogbereyivwe ¹, J. O. Emunefe ²

Abstract: This manuscript presents a developed fourth-order iterative family of methods for determining the zero of nonlinear equations that is optimal in line with Kung-Traub conjecture. The family of methods was constructed by using weight function technique. One iteration cycle of any concrete member of the family of methods requires the evaluation of three functions. Consequently, the efficiency index of any concrete member of the family is 1.5873. The method convergence analysis was carried out via the Taylor series technique and numerical examples are provided to illustrate its performance as compared with its contemporary existing methods for obtaining the zero of nonlinear equation.

Keywords: Nonlinear equation; Weight function; Optimal order of convergence

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49M15, 90C53

Receive: 31 October 2021, **Accepted:** 9 February 2022

1 Introduction

The development of new iterative methods (IMs) for obtaining zero of nonlinear equation (NE)

$$w(x) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

is an area in numerical analysis that have attracted the attention of many scholars in the past three decades. In developing new IMs, the golden rule is to put forward methods that have advantage(s) over existing methods. Some of these advantages includes one or combination of IMs characteristics such as: simplicity, efficiency, fast convergence or optimality. The Newton IM given as

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{w(x_k)}{w'(x_k)}, \quad (1.2)$$

is a classical IM for obtaining the zero of NE. It is of convergence order (CO) two. Some advantages of the Newton method includes simplicity and optimality as conjectured by Kung and Traub [11], that an IM without memory requiring p number of distinct function evaluations per iteration will have a maximum CO that is bounded by 2^{p-1} . When this bound is attained by the iterative method, it is said to be optimal. Several techniques have been employed by authors in constructing new or modifying existing IM for obtaining the zero of NE. For instance, the decomposition and Adomian techniques were used to put forward several iterative structures in ([15], [7], [3],[12], [1]) and some references inside. In ([20], [22]), the divided

¹Corresponding author: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria. ogho2015@gmail.com

²Department of General Studies, Petroleum Training Institute, Effurun, Delta State, Nigeria.

difference techniques was employed to develop some optimal IMs. A good literature on the geometric, functional, sampling and rational function techniques for developing IMs can be found in [2]. The combination of quadrature and decomposition techniques was used in ([19], [15]) to put forward some good IMs. In recent years, the weight function (W-F) techniques have been utilized to improve the efficiency and CO of some existing methods ([17], [13], [14], [16]). In ([10], [4], [14], [8]), various forms of converging power series were used as W-F in constructing many families of IMs that are of CO four.

Consequent upon above research trends, this manuscript presents a family of optimal CO four IM for obtaining the zero of (1.1) is developed using the W-F technique. Apart from its simplicity and high precision of the developed IM, other advantages of the method includes non-requirement of higher derivatives and requirement of evaluation of one $w'(\cdot)$ and two $w(\cdot)$ functions as against evaluation of two $w'(\cdot)$ and one $w(\cdot)$ functions of most existing optimal methods with CO four.

2 The Method

Consider the iterative procedure in the form:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + (\Omega_1\tau_1 + \Omega_2\tau_2) H(\mu), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\tau_1 = \frac{w(x_k)}{w'(x_k)}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tau_2 = \frac{w(x_k + \Omega_3\tau_1)}{w'(x_k)}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mu = \frac{w(x_k + \Omega_3\tau_1)}{w(x_k)}, \quad (2.4)$$

k an iteration counter, $H(\mu)$ is a real valued weight function and $\Omega_i; \{i = 1; 2; 3\}$ are suitable constants to be determined. It is important to note that for $\Omega_1 = 1; \Omega_i = 0$ and $H(\mu) = 1$ in (3), the NM is obtained. Furthermore, for $\Omega_1 = 0; \Omega_2 = -\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{2}; \Omega_3 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $H(\mu) = 1$, the CO three IM in Ghorbani and Gachpazan [9] is obtained.

Here, our interest is to determine the constants Ω_i and the weight function $H(\mu)$ so that the method (2.1) can obtain the zero of (1.1) with CO four. The consequence of this is that, method (2.1) requiring the evaluation of three functions will be optimal according to Kung-Traub conjecture. The next section presents the convergence analysis of the method under some assumptions.

3 Convergence Analysis of the method

The following definition will be useful in proving the convergence of the IM put forward in (2.1).

Definition 3.1. Suppose $d_k = |x_k - x^*|$ is the error in k th iteration of an iterative method $w(x_k)$, then the equation

$$d_{k+1} = Md_k^p + O(d_k^{p+1}); \quad (3.1)$$

is known as the error equation of $w(x_k)$, where M is constant and p is its CO [6].

Theorem 3.2. Let $w : D \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real value function that is sufficiently differentiable such that $w'(\cdot) \neq 0$ in D an open interval and $x^* \in D$. Furthermore, suppose an initial guess x_0 is close to x^* , then the generated sequence of approximations $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 0}; (x_k \in D)$ by the families of IM (2.1), converges to x^* with CO four provided $\Omega_i = 1; \{i = 1; 2; 3\}$, $H(0) = 1; H'(0) = 0; H''(0) = 4$ and $H'''(0) < \infty$.

Proof. Set $x = x_k$ in the Taylor series expansion of $w(x)$ and $w'(x)$ around x_k , and the results below are obtained.

$$w(x_k) = w'(x^*) \left[d_k + \sum_{n=2}^4 c_n d_k^n + O(d_k^5) \right], k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$w'(x_k) = w'(x^*) \left[1 + \sum_{n=2}^4 c_n d_k^{n-1} + O(d_k^5) \right], k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.3)$$

where $d_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{w^{(k)}(x^*)}{w'(x^*)}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$

Using (3.2) and (3.3), the equations (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) can be expressed in series as follows:

$$\tau_1 = d_k - c_2 d_k^2 - (2c_2^2 - 2c_3) d_k^3 + (-4c_2^3 + 7c_2 c_3) d_k^4 + O(d_k^5); \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 = & (1 + \Omega_3) d_k + c_2 (\Omega_3^2 - \Omega_3 - 1) d_k^2 + (\Omega_3 - 1) (-2c_2 (1 + 2\Omega_3) + c_3 (2 + 4\Omega_3 + \Omega_3^2)) d_k^3 \\ & + (c_2 (-4 - 4\Omega_3 + 13\Omega_3^2) + c_3^2 (7 + 7\Omega_3 - 19\Omega_3^2 - 5\Omega_3^3) + c_4 (-3 - 3\Omega_3 + 6\Omega_3^2 + 4\Omega_3^3 + \Omega_3^4)) \\ & + O(d_k^5), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = & (1 + \Omega_3) + c_2 \Omega_2 d_k + \Omega_3^2 (-3c_2^2 + c_3(3 + \Omega_3)) d_k^2 + \Omega_3^2 (8c_2^3 - 2c_2 c_3(7 + 2\Omega_3) + c_4 (6 + 4\Omega_3 + \Omega_3^2)) d_k^3 \\ & + O(d_k^5), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Substitute (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) in (2.1) yields;

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} = & x^* + \left(1 + \frac{1}{6} (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_2 \Omega_3) \right) (6H(0) + (1 + \Omega_3) (6H'(0) + (1 + \Omega_3)(3H''(0) + H'''(0)) \\ & + \Omega_3 H'''(0))) d_k + \frac{1}{6} c_2 (3\Omega_3 (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_2 \Omega_3) (2H'(0) + (1 + \Omega_3) (2H''(0) + H'''(0) + \Omega_3 H'''(0)))) \\ & - (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 (1 + \Omega_3 - \Omega_3^2)) (6H(0) + (1 + \Omega_3) (6H(0) + (1 + \Omega_3) (3H'''(0) + \Omega_3 H'''(0)))) d_k^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{6} (-3c_2^2 \Omega_3^2 (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 (1 + \Omega_3 - \Omega_3^2)) (2H'(0) + (1 + \Omega_3) (2H''(0) + \Omega_3 H'''(0)))) \\ & + 3\Omega_3^2 (\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_2 \Omega_3) (-c_2^2 (6H'(0) + (6 + 6\Omega_3 - \Omega_3^2) H''(0) + (3 + 6\Omega_3 + 2\Omega_3^2 - \Omega_3^3) H'''(0))) \\ & + c_3 (3 + \Omega_3) (2H'(0) + (1 + \Omega_3) (2H''(0) + H'''(0) + \Omega_3 H'''(0))) + (2\Omega_1 (c_2^2 - c_3) \\ & + \Omega_2 (\Omega_3 - 1) (-2c_2^2 (1 + 2\Omega_3) + c_3 (2 + 4\Omega_3 + \Omega_3^2))) (6H(0) + (1 + \Omega_3) (6H'(0) \\ & + (1 + \Omega_3) (3H''(0) + H'''(0) + \Omega_3 H'''(0)))) d_k^3 \\ & + (3c_2 \Omega_3^2 (2\Omega_1 (c_2^2 - c_3) + \Omega_2 (\Omega_3 - 1) (-2c_2^2 (1 + 2\Omega_3) + c_3 (2 + 4\Omega_3 + \Omega_3^2)))) \dots \\ & \dots H(0) + \frac{1}{6} (1 + H(0)) (6H'(0) + (1 + \Omega_3) (3H''(0) + \Omega_3 H''(0))) d_k^4 + O(d_k^5), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

For the method (2.1) to approximate the zero of the NLE (1.1) with CO four, the coefficients of d_k , d_k^2 and d_k^3 in (3.7) must vanish. This is achievable when $\Omega_i = 1$; $\{i = 1, 2, 3\}$; $H(0) = 1$; $H'(0) = 0$; $H''(0) = 4$ and $H'''(0) < \infty$. When these conditions are substituted in (3.7), the error equation below is obtained.

$$d_{k+1} = x^* - \left(-c_2c_3 - c_2^2 \left(3 - \frac{H'''(0)}{6} \right) \right) d_k^4 + O(d_k^5) \quad (3.8)$$

From Definition 1, the error equation of the developed IM (2.1) obtained in (3.8) is of order 4. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.3. Many functions can be constructed to satisfy the conditions of the weight function $H(\mu)$. This includes $H(\mu) = 1 + 2\mu^2 + \theta\mu^3$.

Remark 3.4. For a choice of $H(\mu) = 1 + 2\mu^2 + \theta\mu^3$ in (1.1), a family of optimal CO four method for obtaining the zero of NE (1.1) given as:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \left[\frac{w(x_k)}{w'(x_k)} + \frac{w\left(x_k - \frac{w(x_k)}{w'(x_k)}\right)}{w(x_k)} \right] \left[1 + 2 \left(\frac{w\left(x_k - \frac{w(x_k)}{w'(x_k)}\right)}{w(x_k)} \right)^2 + \theta \left(\frac{w\left(x_k - \frac{w(x_k)}{w'(x_k)}\right)}{w(x_k)} \right)^3 \right] \quad (3.9)$$

is obtained.

Some specific forms of (3.10) obtained by assigning values to θ and their corresponding error equations are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Specific forms of (3.9) and their error equations

Methods	θ	d_{k+1}
M_1	0	$(3c_2^3 - c_2c_3) d_k^4$
M_2	3	$-(c_2c_3) d_k^4$

4 Numerical Experience

The numerical experience with some specific forms (M1 and M2) of the developed family of methods on some standard NEs in literature are presented in this section. The results obtained with M1 and M2 are compared with those from convergence order four methods such as Ghorbani and Gachpazan (GGM) [9] given as:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= x_k - [\tau_1 + \tau_2]; \\ \tau_1 &= \frac{w^2(x_k)}{w'(x_k) \left(w(x_k) - w\left(x_k - \frac{w(x_k)}{w'(x_k)}\right) \right)} \\ \tau_2 &= \frac{\tau_1 w(x_k - \tau_1)}{w(x_k)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

the optimal CO four method in Sharma (SM) [21]:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{4w(x_k)}{w'(x_k) + 3w'(y_k)} (1 + \tau_1^3) - \frac{9}{16} \left(\frac{\phi}{w'(x_k)} \right)^2 \tau_1^3; \quad (4.2)$$

where $\phi = \frac{w'(x_k) - w'(y_k)}{\tau_1}$, $y_k = x_k - \frac{2}{3}\tau_1$,

and optimal CO four method developed in Chun (CM) [5] given as:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - \frac{w'(w_k) + 3w'(y_k) w(x_k)}{2w'(w_k) - w'(y_k) w'(x_k)}; \quad (4.3)$$

The computation programs for all methods were written and implemented using MAPLE 2017 version environment and all computations are to 1000 digits of mantissa. The condition $|w(x_{k+1})| \leq 10^{-100}$ was used as stopping criteria. The Number of Iterations (Iter), Absolute value of function of last iteration value $|w(x_{k+1})|$ and Petkovic Computational local convergence order (PCLCO) due to Petkovic [18] given as:

$$\rho_{coc} = \frac{\log |w(x_{k+1})|}{\log |w(x_k)|} \quad (4.4)$$

were used as metrics for comparison.

Example 4.1. Obtain the zero x^* of the NE:

$$x - \cos(x) = 0; \quad x^* = 0.7390851332151606416 \dots$$

Example 4.2. Obtain the zero x^* of the NE:

$$x^2 - (1 - x)^5 = 0; \quad x^* = 0.3459548158482420179 \dots$$

Example 4.3. Obtain the zero x^* of the NE:

$$\sin(2\cos x) - 1 - x^2 + e^{\sin(x^3)} = 0; \quad x^* = -0.7848959876612125352 \dots$$

Example 4.4. Obtain the zero x^* of the NE:

$$\sqrt{x^2 + 2x + 5} - 2\sin(x) - x^2 + 3 = 0; \quad x^* = 2.3319676558839640103 \dots$$

Example 4.5. Obtain the zero x^* of the NE:

$$x - \sin\left(\frac{x}{5}\right) - \frac{1}{4} = 0; \quad x^* = 0.4099920179891371316 \dots$$

Example 4.6. Obtain the zero x^* of the NE:

$$xe^{x^2} - \sin^2 x + 3\cos x + 5 = 0; \quad x^* = -1.2076478271309189270 \dots$$

Example 4.7. Obtain the zero x^* of the NE:

$$\sin x (e^x) + \ln(x^2 + 1) = 0; \quad x^* = 0$$

Example 4.8. Obtain the zero x^* of the NE:

$$(1 + x^3) \cos(x^2) + \sqrt{1 - x^2} - 2(9\sqrt{2} + 7\sqrt{3})x^4 = 0; \quad x^* = 0.4457514094614178461 \dots$$

Table 2: The computation results obtained from solving Example 4.1-4.8 using $M1$ and $M2$, and methods in ([9], [21], [5])

Examples	Methods	x_0	iter	$ w(x_{k+1}) $	ρ_{ploc}
4.1	<i>GGM</i>		5	$2.9346e - 397$	4.0101
	<i>SM</i>		4	$2.3633e - 214$	4.1154
	<i>CM</i>	2	4	$2.3633e - 214$	4.1154
	<i>M1</i>		4	$5.3409e - 235$	4.0526
	<i>M2</i>		5	$2.5942e - 245$	3.9722
4.2	<i>GGM</i>		4	$3.3225e - 237$	3.9500
	<i>SM</i>		5	$1.3110e - 198$	3.9600
	<i>CM</i>	1	5	$1.2902e - 318$	3.9750
	<i>M2</i>		4	$1.9230e - 116$	4.0000
	<i>M1</i>		5	$1.8881e - 343$	3.9884
4.3	<i>GGM</i>		4	$7.1526e - 238$	4.0339
	<i>SM</i>		4	$7.4281e - 157$	4.0256
	<i>CM</i>	-1	4	$3.9144e - 210$	4.0385
	<i>M1</i>		4	$1.9230e - 192$	4.0000
	<i>M2</i>		4	$7.9599e - 217$	4.0185
4.4	<i>GGM</i>		3	$2.6923e - 103$	4.1200
	<i>SM</i>		6	$6.3068e - 329$	4.0121
	<i>CM</i>	1.5	4	$6.3932e - 222$	4.0364
	<i>M1</i>		4	$9.0269e - 299$	4.0405
	<i>M2</i>		4	$2.0218e - 295$	4.0411
4.5	<i>GGM</i>		4	$1.3019e - 165$	3.9286
	<i>SM</i>		4	$1.4128e - 161$	3.9268
	<i>CM</i>	0.5	4	$2.0199e - 168$	4.0000
	<i>M1</i>		4	$4.4104e - 137$	3.9143
	<i>M2</i>		4	$3.0835e - 205$	4.0196
4.6	<i>GGM</i>		6	$2.7173e - 287$	4.0139
	<i>SM</i>		6	$1.6805e - 193$	4.02083
	<i>CM</i>	-2	5	$9.5698e - 153$	4.1351
	<i>M1</i>		6	$4.0591e - 205$	4.0196
	<i>M2</i>		6	$2.4440e - 345$	4.0116
4.7	<i>GGM</i>		5	$2.1555e - 298$	3.9864
	<i>SM</i>		5	$1.4057e - 338$	3.9765
	<i>CM</i>	0.5	5	$2.5435e - 306$	3.9740
	<i>M1</i>		5	$6.6273e - 223$	3.9298
	<i>M2</i>		5	$8.0029e - 328$	4.0000
4.8	<i>GGM</i>		5	$2.4931e - 256$	4.0000
	<i>SM</i>		5	$1.0421e - 209$	4.0192
	<i>CM</i>	0.7	5	$4.9490e - 320$	4.0000
	<i>M1</i>		5	$3.1644e - 198$	4.0408
	<i>M2</i>		5	$2.2485e - 305$	4.0132

4.1 Results discussion

In Table 2, the results obtained when the proposed methods (M1, M2) and the compared methods were tested on Examples 4.1-4.8 are presented. Observe that the proposed methods did not only obtained the zero of NE in Examples 4.1-4.8 with competitive convergence speed as the compared methods but also their CLCO agreed with the theoretical value obtained in Section 3. Moreover, unlike the GGMs that requires four functions evaluation per iteration circle, the methods M1 and M2 requires just three functions evaluation.

5 Conclusion

In this manuscript, a family of iterative scheme with CO four that requires the evaluation of three distinct function per iteration cycle in obtaining zero of NE is developed. The computation experience from the application of some specific members of the family, shows that, the developed methods are efficient in obtaining zeros of NEs.

Acknowledgement: We would like to acknowledge the anonymous reviewers and editor(s) for their constructive comments and suggestions, that led to the improvement of this manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Declaration1: The authors declares that there is no existence of any form of conflict regarding the publication this manuscript.

References

- [1] G. Adomian, Solving Frontier Problems of Physics: The Decomposition Method, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dorerecht, 1994.
- [2] R. Behl, C. Chun, A.S. Alshormani, S.S. Motsa, A General Way to Construct a New Optimal Scheme with Eighth-Order Convergence for Nonlinear Equations, International J. of Computational Methods, 17(1) 2020, 1-15.
- [3] C. Chun, Iterative methods improving Newtons method by the decomposition method, Comp. Math. Appl., 50(10-12) 2005, 1559-1568.
- [4] C. Chun, Some fourth-order iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 195(2) 2008, 454-459.
- [5] C.M. Chun, M.Y. Lee, B. Neta, and J. Dzunic, On optimal fourth-order iterative methods free from second derivative an their dynamics, Appl. Math. Comput, 218(11) 2012 ,6427-6438.
- [6] A. Cordero, J. R. Torregrosa, Variants of Newtons method using fifthorder quadrature formulas, Math. Comput., 190(1) 2007, 686-698.
- [7] V. Daftardar-Gejji and A. Jafari, An iterative method for solving nonlinear functional equations, J. Math.Anal. Appl. 316(2) 2006, 753-763.
- [8] B. Ghanbari, A new general fourth-order family of methods for finding simple roots of nonlinear equations, Journal of King Saud University-Science 23(4) 2011, 395-398.
- [9] A. Ghorbani, M. Gachpazan, A high-order algorithm for solving nonlinear algebraic equations, Iranian Journal of Numerical Analysis and Optimization, 11(1) 2021.

- [10] S.K. Khattri, S. Abbasbandy, Optimal fourth order family of iterative methods, *Matematicki Vesnik*, 63 (1) 2011, 67-72.
- [11] H.T. Kung, J.F. Traub, Optimal order of one-point and multipoint iteration, *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, 21(4) 1974, 643-651.
- [12] M.A. Noor, K.I. Noor, M. Waseem, Decomposition method for solving system of nonlinear equations, *Math. Lett.*, 2(1) 2013, 34-41.
- [13] O. Ogbereyivwe, V. Ojo-Orobosa, High Order Quadrature Based Iterative Method for Approximating the Solution of Nonlinear Equations, *Casp. J. of Math. Sc.*, 9(2) 2020, 243-255.
- [14] O. Ogbereyivwe, V. Ojo-Orobosa, Family of optimal two-step fourth order iterative method and its extension for solving nonlinear equations, *J. of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, 24 (5) 2021, 1347-1365.
- [15] O. Ogbereyivwe, K. O. Muka, Multistep Quadrature Based Methods for Nonlinear System of Equations with Singular Jacobian, *J. of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 7(3) 2019, 702-725.
- [16] O. Ogbereyivwe, V. Ojo-Orobosa, Families of Means-Based Modified Newtons Method for Solving Nonlinear Models, *Punjab University Journal of Mathematics*, 53(11) 2021, 779-791.
- [17] S. Parimala, J. Jayakumar, Some new higher order weighted Newton methods for solving nonlinear equation with applications. *Math. and Comput. Appl.*, 24(2) 2019, 59.
- [18] M.S. Petkovic, Remarks on On a general class of multipoint root-finding methods of high computational efficiency, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 49(3) 2011, 1317-1319.
- [19] G. Sana, M.A. Noor, K.I. Noor, Solution of nonlinear equations using three point Gaussian quadrature formula and decomposition, *Punjab Uni. J. of Math*, 53(12) 2021, 893-912.
- [20] F.A. Shah, M.A. Noor, M. Waseem, E. Ul-Haq, Some Steffensen-type Iterative Schemes for the Approximate Solution of Nonlinear Equations, *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, 22(2) 2021, 939-949.
- [21] E. Sharma, S. Panday, M. Dwivedi, New optimal fourth order iterative method for solving nonlinear equations, *Int. J. on Emerging Technol*, 11(3) 2020, 755-758.
- [22] Y. Tao, K. Madhu, Optimal fourth, eighth and sixteenth order methods by using divided difference techniques and their basins of attraction and its application, *Σ - Mathematics*, 7(4) 2019, 322-344.