



On the degree of the Birkhoff polytope graph

Bahman Khosravi^{a,*}, Behnam Khosravi^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Qom University of Technology, Qom, Iran.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran.

Abstract

The Birkhoff polytope graph can be considered as the Cayley graph of the symmetric group S_n with respect to \mathcal{C}_n , the set of cycles in S_n . Since the degree of every Cayley graph is a natural bound on several parameters of the graph, in this note by presenting a formula for $|\mathcal{C}_n|$, the degree of the Birkhoff polytope graph, we prove that it is bounded from above by $\lfloor e((n-1)! + (n-2)! + (n-3)! + \dots + 1) \rfloor$, where e is the Neper number.

Keywords: Birkhoff Polytope graph, Degree of the graph

2020 MSC: 05C25, 05C07.

©2026 All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and the preliminary results

Throughout this note, by a graph we mean a finite undirected graph without multiple edges and without loops, unless it is explicitly mentioned. The *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(G, C)$ of G with respect to an inverse closed generating set C of G is defined as the graph with vertex set G and edge set $E(\text{Cay}(G, C))$ consisting of $\{g, g'\}$ such that $gc = g'$, for some $c \in C$.

For every natural number $n \geq 3$ by S_n we mean the symmetric group on the set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Recall that a permutation in S_n for which there exist $a_1, \dots, a_k \in [n]$ such that the permutation sending a_i to a_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, sending a_k to a_1 , and fixing all other numbers in $[n]$ is called a cycle and it is denoted by (a_1, \dots, a_k) . Recall that a square matrix with exactly one '1' in each row and column, and zeros everywhere else is called a permutation matrix. The group of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices is denoted by $\text{Sym}(n)$ and it is known that it can be regarded as the symmetric group S_n . From now on, we denote the set of cycles in $\text{Sym}(n)$ by \mathcal{C}_n .

By a doubly stochastic matrix we mean a matrix with non-negative entries where both the row sums and column sums are equal to 1. By Birkhoff's theorem we know that the convex hull of the set $\text{Sym}(n)$ of $n \times n$ permutation matrices is the set Ω_n , where Ω_n is the set of doubly stochastic matrices (equivalently, any doubly stochastic matrix can be expressed as a weighted average of permutation matrices, where the weights are non-negative and sum to 1). The set Ω_n is called the Birkhoff polytope or the assignment polytope (see [3]).

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: khosravi@qut.ac.ir (Bahman Khosravi[✉]), behnam_kho@yahoo.com (Behnam Khosravi[✉])

doi: [10.30511/mcs.2026.2071585.1473](https://doi.org/10.30511/mcs.2026.2071585.1473)

Received: 16 September 2025 Accepted: 02 January 2026

The Birkhoff polytope graph $G(\Omega_n)$ is the skeleton of Ω_n , whose vertex set is $\text{Sym}(n)$ and two vertices in $G(\Omega_n)$ are adjacent if their convex hull is an edge of Ω_n (equivalently, two permutations σ_1 and σ_2 are adjacent if $\tau = \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2$ is a cycle). Therefore $G(\Omega_n)$ is the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(\text{Sym}(n), \mathcal{C}_n)$ which is isomorphic to $\text{Cay}(S_n, \mathcal{C}_n)$, where \mathcal{C}_n denotes the set of cycles in S_n . From now on, to study the Birkhoff polytope graph, without lose of generality we assume that it is equal to $\text{Cay}(S_n, \mathcal{C}_n)$. Note that by [2, Theorem 4] it is known that the degree of this graph is equal to $|\mathcal{C}_n| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} ((n-k-1)! \times \binom{n}{k})$.

The Birkhoff polytope graph and its properties have been studied by many authors (e.g., see [1]-[4]). As the main result of this note, we focus on the degree of the Birkhoff polytope graph and in Theorem 2.2 we present a formula for the number of cycles in S_n . Then using this formula we present the following upper bound for the number of cycles in S_n which is a natural bound for several parameters of $G(\Omega_n)$.

Proposition 1.1. *For every natural number n , the cardinal of \mathcal{C}_n is bounded from the above by*

$$|\mathcal{C}_n| \leq \lfloor e \times ((n-1)! + (n-2)! + (n-3)! + \dots + 1) \rfloor$$

2. The number of cycles in symmetric group S_n

To prove Theorem 2.2 using [2, Theorem 4], first we need to present the following recursive formula which is the foundation of our approach for simplifying $(k-1)! \times \binom{n}{k}$ in Equation (1).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. Then $n(n-1) \dots (n-k)$ is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-k-1) + (k+1)(n-2) \dots (n-k-1) \\ & + (k+1)k(n-3) \dots (n-k-1) + \dots + (k+1)! \times (n-k-1) + (k+1)! \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First note that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(*) \quad n(n-1) = (n-1)(n-2) + 2(n-2) + 2.$$

Induction (I): We prove the assertion by induction on n . For $n = 3$ and $k = 1$, by the above equality we have $3 \times 2 = 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 1 + 2$. We suppose that the assertion holds for $n-1$; and use this assumption to prove it for n .

Induction (II): First note that by equality (*), for every natural number n and $k = 1$ the assertion holds. Suppose that the assertion holds for n and $k-1$, where $k \leq n-2$. We prove the assertion for n and k . By the assumption of Induction (II), for n and $k-1$ we know that $n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)(n-k)$ is equal to

$$((n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-k) + k(n-2) \dots (n-k) + k(k-1)(n-3) \dots (n-k) + \dots + k!(n-k) + k!)((n-k-1) + 1).$$

Now by multiplying and applying the assumption of Induction (I) we conclude that $n(n-1) \dots (n-k+1)(n-k)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left((n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(n-2) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(k-1)(n-3) \cdots (n-k-1) + \cdots + k!(n-k)(n-k-1) \right. \\
 & \left. + k!(n-k-1) \right) \\
 & + \left((n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k) + k(n-2) \cdots (n-k) + k(k-1)(n-3) \cdots (n-k) + \cdots + k!(n-k) + k! \right) \\
 = & \\
 & (n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(n-2) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(k-1)(n-3) \cdots (n-k-1) + \cdots + k!(n-k)(n-k-1) \\
 & + k!(n-k-1) \\
 & + (n-2)(n-3) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(n-3) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(k-1)(n-4) \cdots (n-k-1) + \cdots + k!(n-k-1) + k! \\
 & + k(n-3) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(k-1)(n-4) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(k-1)(k-2)(n-5) \cdots (n-k-1) + \cdots \\
 & + k!(n-k-1) + k! \\
 & + k(k-1)(n-4) \cdots (n-k-1) + k(k-1)(k-2)(n-5) \cdots (n-k-1) + \cdots + k!(n-k-1) + k! \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + k!(n-k-1) + k! \\
 & + k! \\
 = & \\
 & (n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k-1) + (k+1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k-1) + (k(k-1) + 2k)(n-3) \cdots (n-k-1) \\
 & + (k(k-1)(k-2) + 3k(k-1))(n-4) \cdots (n-k-1) \\
 & + \cdots + \underbrace{(k! + k! + \cdots + k!)}_{k+1} (n-k-1) + \underbrace{(k! + k! + \cdots + k!)}_{k+1} \\
 = & (n-1) \cdots (n-k-1) + (k+1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k-1) + (k+1)k(n-3) \cdots (n-k-1) + \\
 & (k+1)k(k-1)(n-4) \cdots (n-k-1) + \cdots + (k+1)!(n-k-1) + (k+1)!
 \end{aligned}$$

and so the assertion of Induction (II) holds for k . Therefore the assertion in Induction (I) holds, too. □

Theorem 2.2. *The number of cycles in S_n is equal to*

$$|\mathcal{C}_n| = (n-1)! \left(\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \right) + (n-2)! \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k-1)!} \right) + (n-3)! \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{(n-k-2)!} \right) + \cdots + 1.$$

Proof. Recall that by [1, Theorem 4] we know that

$$|\mathcal{C}_n| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} ((n-k-1)! \times \binom{n}{k}) = \sum_{k=2}^n ((k-1)! \times \binom{n}{k}). \tag{2.1}$$

To prove the assertion, we divide the above sum as follows

$$|\mathcal{C}_n| = \sum_{k=2}^n (k-1)! \binom{n}{k} = \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (k-1)! \binom{n}{k} + \left(\sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{n-2} (k-1)! \binom{n}{k} \right) + ((n-2)! \times n) + (n-1)!.$$

For every $2 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we know that $n - k \geq k$, and we simplify $(k-1)! \binom{n}{k}$ as follows.

$$(k-1)! \times \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n \times (n-1) \times \cdots (n-k) \times \cdots \times (k+1) \times (k-1) \times \cdots \times 1}{(n-k)!}$$

Now by Lemma 2.1 since

$$\begin{aligned} n(n-1)\cdots(k+1)(k-1)\times\cdots\times 1 &= \left((n-1)(n-2)\cdots k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (n-k)(n-2)\cdots k + (n-k)(n-k-1)(n-3)\cdots k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cdots + (n-k)! \times k + (n-k)! \right) (k-1)\times\cdots\times 1 \\ &= (n-1)! + (n-2)!(n-k) + (n-3)! \times (n-k)(n-k-1) \\ &\quad + \cdots + (n-k)! \times k! + (n-k)! \times (k-1)!, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k-1)! \times \binom{n}{k} &= \left((n-1)! + (n-2)!(n-k) + (n-3)! \times (n-k)(n-k-1) + \cdots + k!(n-k)! \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (k-1)!(n-k)! \right) / (n-k)! \\ &= (n-1)! \times \frac{1}{(n-k)!} + (n-2)! \frac{1}{(n-k-1)!} + (n-3)! \frac{1}{(n-k-2)!} \\ &\quad + \cdots + k! + (k-1)!. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq k \leq n-2$, then $n-k+1 \leq k$ and we have

$$(k-1)! \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(k+1)\cancel{k}(k-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{\cancel{k}}.$$

Again by Lemma 2.1 since

$$\begin{aligned} n(n-1)\cdots(k+1) &= (n-1)(n-2)\cdots k + (n-k)(n-2)\cdots k + (n-k)(n-k-1)(n-3)\cdots k \\ &\quad + \cdots + (n-k)! \times k + (n-k)!, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k-1)! \times \binom{n}{k} &= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(k+1)\cancel{k}(k-1)\cdots(n-k-1)}{\cancel{k}} \\ &= \left((n-1)(n-2)\cdots k + (n-k)(n-2)\cdots k + (n-k)(n-k-1)(n-3)\cdots k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cdots + (n-k)! \times k + (n-k)! \right) (k-1)\cdots(n-k+1) \\ &= (n-1)\cdots(n-k+1) + (n-2)\cdots(n-k+1)(n-k) \\ &\quad + (n-3)\cdots(n-k-1)(n-k)(n-k-1) \\ &\quad + (n-4)\cdots(n-k+1)(n-k)(n-k-1)(n-k-2) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + (n-k)! \times k(k-1)\cdots(n-k+1) + (n-k)! \times (k-1)\cdots(n-k+1) \\ &= (n-1)\cdots(n-k+1) + (n-2)\cdots(n-k) \\ &\quad + (n-3)\cdots(n-k-1) + (n-4)\cdots(n-k-2) \\ &\quad + \cdots + k! + (k-1)! \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k)!} + \frac{(n-2)!}{(n-k-1)!} + \frac{(n-3)!}{(n-k-2)!} + \cdots + k! + (k-1)!. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the above discussions we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{C}_n| &= \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} ((k-1)! \binom{n}{k}) \right) + \left(\sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{n-2} ((k-1)! \binom{n}{k}) \right) + ((n-2)! \times n) + (n-1)! \\
 &= \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} ((k-1)! \binom{n}{k}) \right) + \left(\sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^{n-2} ((k-1)! \binom{n}{k}) \right) + \underbrace{((n-2)! \times ((n-1)+1))}_{(n-1)! + (n-2)!} + (n-1)! \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k)!} + \frac{(n-2)!}{(n-k-1)!} + \cdots + k! + (k-1)! \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}^n \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k)!} + \frac{(n-2)!}{(n-k-1)!} + \cdots + k! + (k-1)! \right) \\
 &= (n-1)! \left(\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \right) + (n-2)! \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k-1)!} \right) + (n-3)! \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{1}{(n-k-2)!} \right) + \cdots + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

and the result is clear. \square

Finally note that as an immediate consequence of the above theorem since $e = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$, Proposition 1.1 holds.

References

- [1] Balinski, M. L. & Russakoff, A., (1974) On the assignment polytope, *SIAM Rev.* 16, 516-525. [1](#), [2](#)
- [2] Birkhoff, G., (1946) Tres observaciones sobre el algebra lineal, *Univ. Nac. Tucumán Rev. Ser. A*, 147-151. [1](#), [2](#)
- [3] Huang, Z. Li, C., Swartz, E., & Sze, N., Cliques and independent sets of the Birkhoff polytope graph, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.12655>. [1](#)
- [4] Kane, D., Lovett, S., & Rao, S., (2019) The independence number of the Birkhoff polytope graph, and applications to maximally recoverable codes, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 48(4), 1425-1435 [1](#)